

## DIGITAL DIDACTICS

### Introduction

This section of my portfolio explores my practice in **Digital Didactics** through the lens of the **SAFE-SOURCE-SOUNDS Framework**, integrating digital environments with mindful, reflective, and coaching-informed pedagogies. My teaching practice in the MCMS programme and the RUN-EU Short Advanced Programme (SAP) offers a rich landscape for demonstrating this Learning Outcome, particularly because two weeks of the SAP are conducted entirely online. This context enables a robust examination of how digital spaces can support awareness-based learning, collaboration, and self-regulated professional growth.

The guiding Learning Outcome is:

*You have mastered digital didactics at a basic, advanced, or expert level and can demonstrate this using authentic e-didactic materials in a manner congruent with the required skill. You make a well-founded connection between the use of digital resources and the learning purpose.*

Digital didactics, as conceptualised by Herrington and Oliver (2000), extends far beyond the selection of tools. It concerns the crafting of *authentic digital learning environments* in which learners can explore, construct, and reflect. Through this lens, digital technologies become pedagogical partners—not merely functional tools—supporting self-regulated learning, collaboration, creativity, and wellbeing.

The section is structured through **SAFE-SOURCE-SOUNDS**:

- **SAFE** — establishing psychological and cognitive safety in digital environments
- **SOURCE** — fostering reflective awareness, insight, and meaning-making
- **SOUNDS** — guiding behavioural application, performance, and professional growth

This allows digital didactics to be evaluated not only as technical practice, but as a holistic learning architecture grounded in mindfulness, coaching, and design-based education principles.

### Criteria to Demonstrate Learning Outcome

#### Professional Engagement

- Uses digital technologies for communication, collaboration, and professional development.

## **Digital Learning Materials**

- Selects, creates, modifies, manages, protects, and shares digital learning materials.

## **Learning and Teaching**

- Manages and directs digital technologies for instruction, guidance, collaboration, and self-regulated learning.

## **Assessment**

- Uses digital technologies to enhance assessment, analyse student behaviour and performance, and provide feedback.

## **Learning Empowerment**

- Applies digital technologies for accessibility, differentiation, personalisation, and active learning.

## **Digital Literacy of Learners**

- Enables learners to use digital technologies responsibly and creatively.

## **PERSONAL LEARNING QUESTIONS: SAFE–SOURCE–SOUNDS**

### **SAFE — How do I establish psychological and pedagogical safety in digital environments?**

This includes:

- How do I design online spaces where students feel seen, supported, and engaged?
- How do digital tools help me create structure, clarity, and a sense of belonging?

### **SOURCE — How can digital tools deepen reflection, awareness, and meaning-making?**

This includes:

- How can digital environments support contemplative and coaching-informed learning?
- How do students use digital platforms to explore emotions, thinking patterns, and self-regulation?

### **SOUNDS — How do digital technologies enable behavioural application, collaboration, and professional performance?**

This includes:

- How do digital tools help students translate insight into action?
- How do I evaluate student learning through digital assessment and feedback systems?

### **SAFE — Establishing Digital Psychological Safety**

Digital environments can either fragment attention or cultivate attunement, depending on how they are designed. In this phase, I explored how digital technologies can create clarity, accessibility, and relational safety for learners—an essential precondition for meaningful online engagement.

I began by examining how platforms within my teaching practice—**Microsoft 365, Teams, Miro, Mural, Canva, Blackboard, and FeedbackFruits**—support safety through:

- **Predictability** (clear agendas, transparent expectations)
- **Belonging** (interactive check-ins, camera-optional meetings)
- **Cognitive safety** (structured learning materials, accessible templates)
- **Emotional safety** (non-judgemental spaces for reflection)

Digital psychological safety aligns with:

- Neff’s (2003) concept of *self-compassion*
- Palmer’s (1998) *inner landscape of teaching*
- Goleman & Davidson’s (2017) work on mindful presence
- Co-Active “designed alliance”
- The Inner Game’s (Gallwey, 1974) reduction of self-interference

In SAP’s fully online weeks, I intentionally used:

#### **Microsoft Teams**

- To set clear communication channels
- To host opening circles that mirror mindful check-ins
- To provide structured yet flexible spaces for questions

#### **Blackboard Ultra**

- To centralise resources and reduce cognitive load

- To frame assignments with transparent criteria
- To support predictable learning pathways

These actions helped students feel held by a clear container—an essential component of safe digital pedagogy.

### **SOURCE — Deepening Awareness, Reflection, and Cognitive–Emotional Insight**

In this phase, I examined how digital platforms support **reflective, embodied, and metacognitive learning**, allowing students to access their inner sources of meaning.

Digital reflection aligns with:

- MBCT reflective cycles (Segal et al., 2013)
- Damasio’s (1999) body-emotion-thought integration
- Barrett’s (2017) constructed emotion theory
- Gendlin’s (1982) somatic focusing
- Contemplative pedagogy (Barbezat & Bush, 2014; Zajonc, 2013)

### **Miro & Mural became central tools for SOURCE-based learning because they support:**

- Visual mapping of emotions, thoughts, and insights
- Real-time collaborative reflection
- Somatic tracking through “body maps”
- Meaning-making through visual metaphors
- Documenting coaching-style inquiry

For example, in SAP, I facilitated:

### **Digital Self-Discovery Maps**

A Miro template guiding students to explore:

- Stress triggers
- Emotional patterns
- Body sensations
- Cognitive interpretations
- Values and intentions

Students frequently reported that visualising their internal processes helped them connect theory (e.g., stress physiology, resilience science) with lived experience—an essential step from *knowledge* to *understanding*.

**Canva also enabled reflective practice:**

- Students created personal resilience infographics
- They articulated belief systems, strengths, and goals
- Visual storytelling encouraged deeper authenticity

Digital didactics thus became a contemplative space in which learners could inquire within.

**SOUNDS — Behavioural Application, Collaboration, and Professional Action**

In SOUNDS, I examined how digital tools facilitate behavioural demonstration, professional communication, and self-regulated learning—aligning insight with action.

This phase integrates:

- GROW’s “Will” (Whitmore, 2002)
- Inner Game performance cycles
- Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000)
- DBE’s iterative learning processes
- Authentic assessment (Biggs & Tang, 2011)

**Blackboard & FeedbackFruits**

I used these tools to:

- Deliver formative and summative assessments
- Provide targeted, timely feedback
- Analyse student performance patterns
- Encourage peer-to-peer review

FeedbackFruits particularly supports:

- Dialogue-based assessment
- Metacognitive feedback loops

- Self-regulated learning strategies

### **Miro & Mural for Prototyping and Collaborative Action**

Students used these platforms to:

- Prototype communication strategies
- Co-create project deliverables
- Iterate based on feedback from peers and stakeholders

### **Canva for Professional Output**

Students used Canva to produce:

- Stakeholder presentations
- Campaign materials
- Personal leadership profiles

These tools fostered behavioural competence, resilience, and professional readiness.

### **Conclusion**

Through the SAFE–SOURCE–SOUNDS framework, my digital didactics practice evolved from a tool-oriented approach into a **whole-person digital pedagogy**. Digital technologies were no longer peripheral supports but **core learning architectures** enabling:

- Psychological safety
- Reflective awareness
- Behavioural demonstration
- Collaboration
- Professional development

This integrated approach supports DBE principles, mindfulness-based teaching, and coaching-informed pedagogy—aligning digital environments with the holistic growth of learners.

# DIGITAL DIDACTICS

## SAFE-SOURCE-SOUNDS MODEL



Augustsson, G., & Boström, L. (2016). Teachers' leadership in the didactic room: A systematic literature review of international research. *Acta Didactica Norge*, 10(3).

Efremova, N., Meskhi, B., & Finko, M. (2022). The problem of preparing a person for life in the conditions of sustainable development of digital technologies and products. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 363, 04062. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202236304062>

FooHs, M., & Giraffa, L. (2022). Remediation from print to digital narratives: A proposal for an active methodology using Scratch. *Educação em Revista*, 38.

Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (2000). An instructional design framework for authentic learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48(3), 23–48.

Microsoft. (n.d.). *Microsoft 365*. <https://www.office.com/>

Miro. (n.d.). *Miro Online Collaboration Software*. <https://miro.com>

Mural. (n.d.). *Mural Digital Workspace*. <https://mural.co>

Canva. (n.d.). *Canva Visual Design Platform*. <https://www.canva.com/>

Blackboard. (n.d.). *Blackboard Ultra LMS*. <https://www.blackboard.com/>

FeedbackFruits. (n.d.). *Feedback and Assessment Tools*. <https://feedbackfruits.com/>